

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/645,009	08/21/2003		Bjorn Winther-Jensen	33780US1	6230	
116	7590	06/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PEARNE & 1801 EAST 9			TARAZANO, DON	TARAZANO, DONALD LAWRENCE		
SUITE 1200				· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAN	D, OH 4	4114-3108	1773			

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/645,009	WINTHER-JENSEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. Lawrence Tarazano	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	,	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>09/889,543</u> . ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/645,009

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. A reference to the prior application must be inserted as the first sentence of the

Page 2

specification of this application or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.76), if applicant

intends to rely on the filing date of the prior application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or 120. See 37

CFR 1.78(a). For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, the reference must include the

relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of all nonprovisional

applications. The applicants should amend the first paragraph of the application to show the

status of the prior US application.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The word

"pyrrolidon" is not spelled using the US convention, which has an "e" at the end.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 2 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: The word

"pyrrolidon" is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,009

Art Unit: 1773

Page 3

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 4. Process limitations in claims are not limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only the structure implied by the steps. "In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Thus, the examiner takes the position that applicants have merely claimed an article having a surface polymerized coating. In order to overcome the below rejection, it is the applicants' burden to show that the process limitations in the claims would produce a materially different object than those taught by the prior art.
- 5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stewart et al. (6,053,171).
- 6. Stewart et al. teach polymeric tubing coated with a layer of polyvinyl pyrrolidone formed by plasma treatment.
- 7. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Woods et al. (4,675,273).
- 8. Woods et al. teach silicon substrates having a polymerized ethylcyanoacrylate coating (Example 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/645,009

Art Unit: 1773

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to D. Lawrence Tarazano whose telephone number is (571)-272-

1515. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 6:00 (off every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paul J Thibodeau can be reached on (571)-272-1516. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Lawrence Tarazano Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 1773

dlt